



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/668,213	09/22/2000	Ynjiun P. Wang	A-68940-5/DCA	9838
7590 06/30/2005			EXAMINER	
Moser Patterson & Sheridan LLP			SHERR, CRISTINA O	
Suite 250 350 Cambridge Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Palo Alto, CA		•	3621	
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		·				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/668,213	WANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Cristina Owen Sherr	3621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for acuse the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 N	ovem <u>ber 2</u> 003.					
·	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5,6,12 and 17</u> is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-11,13-16 and 18-26</u> is/are rejection is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Appli pity documents have been rec nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	[\bar{\bar{\bar{\bar{\bar{\bar{\bar{	nary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is in response to applicant's amendment filed November 21, 2003. Claims 1, 7, 8, 13, have been amended. Claims 5, 6, 12, 17, have been canceled. Claims 1-4, 7-11, 13-16 and 18-26 are pending in this case.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 7-11, 13-16 and 18-26 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ginter et al (5,892,900).
- 5. Regarding claim 1 –

Ginter discloses a method for pushing a transaction request from an remote electronic transaction system running the xAgent to a portable electronic authorization device carried by a user for an electronic confirmation, comprising steps of: pushing a transaction request from the xAgent running at the remote electronic transaction system triggered by a pre-determined event; receiving at the portable electronic authorization device first digital data representing the transaction request; providing information to the user regarding an ability to approve or modify the transaction request; and when the

Art Unit: 3621

transaction request is approved by the user, receiving at the electronic transaction system second digital data representing the electronic confirmation of the transaction requests; and wherein the receiving step is performed via a wireless communication port associated with the portable electronic authorization device (e.g. col 1 \ln 40 – col 2 \ln 55).

- 6. Ginter does not specifically discloses an xAgent, but does disclose a number of different agents, it stands to reason that many different agents could perform as in Ginter's disclosure.
- 7. Regarding claims 2-4 –

Ginter discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the pre-determined event is at least one of the stock price rises above a pre-determined percentage and the stock price falls below a pre-determined percentage; wherein the pre-determined event is the auction bidding price rises above user-defined price; wherein the pre-determined event is upon received a delivery. (e.g. col 2 ln 20-55).

- 8. Claims 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ginter et al (5,892,900).
- 9. Regarding claim 7 -

Ginter discloses a portable electronic authorization device for approving a transaction request from a point-of-sale system, comprising a transceiver in the portable electronic authorization device configured to receive first digital data representing the transaction request; a display configured to provide information to the user regarding an ability to approve or modify the transaction request, a scanner configured to scan at least one of

Art Unit: 3621

bar-code or OCR information; and a downloadable transaction program to enable the portable electronic authorization device to perform a transaction; wherein the transceiver is further configured such that when the transaction request is approved by the user, the transceiver is configured to transmit second digital data representing the electronic confirmation of the transaction request (e.g. col 1 ln 40 – col 2 ln 55).

- 10. Ginter does not specifically disclose this configuration of portable device, but it stands to reason that any number of portable devices would serve to perform as in Ginter.
- 11. Regarding claim 8-11 –

Ginter discloses the portable electronic authorization device of claim 7, wherein: the scanner is configured to scan in barcode information to establish the communications link between the portable electronic authorization device and a Point-of-Sale terminal; wherein the scanner is at least one of a barcode or an OCR scanner; wherein the transceiver is at least one of an infrared, a Bluetooth or a wireless receiver; wherein the scanner is configured to scan in barcode product information for self-checkout. (e.g. col 2 ln 20-55).

- 12. Claims 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ginter et al (5,892,900).
- 13. Regarding claim 13 –

Ginter discloses a method for xAgent automatic ordering from a remote merchant server using a portable electronic authorization device carried by a user, comprising the steps of entering product information at the portable electronic authorization device;

Art Unit: 3621

accumulating the product information by tan xAgent running on the portable electronic authorization device; triggering xAgent automatic ordering upon user pre-defined event; receiving at the portable electronic authorization device a first digital data representing the transaction request; providing information to the user regarding an ability to approve the transaction request; when the transaction request is approved by the user, encrypting transaction approval data as second digital data representing approval by the user to purchase the item; and transmitting the second digital data to the electronic transaction system to approve the transaction request with the electronic transaction system (e.g. col 1 \ln 40 – col 2 \ln 55).

- 14. Ginter does not specifically discloses an xAgent, but does disclose a number of different agents, it stands to reason that many different agents could perform as in Ginter's disclosure.
- 15. Regarding claim 14-16 –

Ginter discloses the method of claim 13, wherein the user pre-defined event can be at least one of a total order exceeding a pre-defined amount of dollars, an end of a week occurring wherein the xAgent places orders accumulated during the week, and a bargaining price set by the user being found; wherein the step of entering the product information includes using the keypad of the portable electronic authorization device to enter at least one of a product code, product name, manufacturing number, and quantity; wherein the step of entering the product information includes using a scanner in the portable electronic authorization device to scan at least one of a product code, product name, manufacturing number, and quantity (e.g. col 2 ln 20-55).

Application/Control Number: 09/668,213 Page 6

Art Unit: 3621

16. Claims 18-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ginter et al (5,892,900).

17. Regarding claim 18 –

Ginter discloses a method for self-checkout between an electronic point of sale transaction system and a portable electronic authorization device carried by a user, comprising the steps of: entering product information at the portable electronic authorization device; establishing communication link between the electronic point of sale transaction terminal and the portable electronic authorization device; entering product information at the portable electronic authorization device; establishing communication link between the electronic point of sale transaction terminal and the portable electronic authorization device; receiving at the portable electronic authorization device a first digital data representing the transaction request; providing information to the user regarding an ability to approve the transaction request; when the transaction request is approved by the user, encrypting transaction approval data as second digital data representing approval by the user to purchase the item; and transmitting the second digital data to the electronic transaction system to approve the transaction request with the electronic transaction system; and printing a receipt at a remote printer (e.g. col 1 ln 40 – col 2 ln 55).

- 18. Ginter does not specifically disclose this configuration of portable device, but it stands to reason that any number of portable devices would serve to perform as in Ginter.
- 19. Regarding claims 19-26 -

Art Unit: 3621

Ginter discloses the method of claim 18, wherein: the step of encrypting the approval data is performed using a public key cryptography technique using at least a user's private key; wherein: the step of entering the product information includes using a keypad of the portable electronic authorization device to enter at least one of a product code, product name, manufacturing number, and quantity; wherein the step of entering the product information includes using a scanner of the portable electronic authorization device to scan at least one of a product code, product name, manufacturing number, and quantity; wherein the step of printing the receipt step includes establishing a connection between the portable electronic authorization device and the printer; wherein the step of establishing a connection between the portable electronic authorization device and the printer is performed by entering printer identification information into the portable electronic authorization device; wherein the step of establishing a connection between the portable electronic authorization device and the printer is performed by entering subscriber identification information into the printer; wherein the step of establishing a connection between the portable electronic authorization device and the printer is via infrared; wherein the step of establishing a connection between the portable electronic authorization device and the printer is via short range RF (e.g. col 2 ln 20-55).

20. Examiner's note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant.

Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures

Application/Control Number: 09/668,213 Page 8

Art Unit: 3621

may be applied as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Conclusion

- 21. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cristina Owen Sherr whose telephone number is 571-272-6711. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 Monday through Friday.
- 22. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 571-272-6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 23. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600
